



## **Off Street Parking Management**

| L            | Very High    | A |                    |            |               |            |
|--------------|--------------|---|--------------------|------------|---------------|------------|
| K<br>  e<br> | High         | В |                    |            |               | 1          |
| i<br>h<br>o  | Medium       | с |                    |            | 6             | 5          |
| o<br>d       | Low/Very Low | D |                    |            | 4             | 2, 3, 7    |
|              |              |   | 1<br>Insignificant | 2<br>Minor | 3<br>Moderate | 4<br>Major |

## Impact

| RISK<br>NO. | VULNERABILITY                                 | TRIGGER  | CONSEQUENCES  |
|-------------|---|--|---|
| 1.          | TUPE transfers                                | Disagreement over status of TUPE   | Legal challenge from workforce or Unions  |
| 2.          | Timetable                                     | Timetable slips such that procurement is delayed or disrupted  | Commencement date of contract slips with financial consequences for the Council to appoint a temporary contractor   |
| 3.          | ICT   | Arrangements are not clear at tender stage   | Challenges by motorists will be difficult to<br>deal with. Complaints and service disruption<br>will increase. Cash collection and income will<br>be affected |
| 4.          | Action Plan is not monitored                  | Action Plan for the project is not monitored and progressed not managed  | Delays in procurement and handover from<br>NEPP which will result in financial<br>consequences.   |
| 5.          | Key procurement parameters not agreed in time | Procurement methodology (open or<br>restricted procedure), tender evaluation<br>criteria (price/quality split), length of<br>contract term and inclusion of on street<br>operations not agreed | Delays in procurement will result in a delay<br>in handover from NEPP to the new provider.  |



| RISK<br>NO. | VULNERABILITY  | TRIGGER  | CONSEQUENCES  |
|-------------|--|--|---|
| 6.          | If the private sector cannot offer a cost effective solution | The cost or quality of the solution offered by the successful bidder is more than NEPP.            | Additional costs for the Council.   |
| 7.          | Mobilisation team not affective                              | The winning tenderer has a weak<br>implementation plan which could impact<br>contract commencement | If mobilisation delayed and commencement date not achieved there are severe consequences. |

## Management plan for risks "in RED zone"

| RISK NO. | VULNERABILITY  | PROPOSED MANAGEMENT ACTION  |
|----------|----------------|---|
| 1        | TUPE transfers | Car Parking Manager to raise with RTAA and successful tenderers mobilisation manager. Update the Project Team on progress of TUPE matters |