



Off Street Parking Management

L	Very High	A				
K e 	High	В				1
i h o	Medium	с			6	5
o d	Low/Very Low	D			4	2, 3, 7
			1 Insignificant	2 Minor	3 Moderate	4 Major

Impact

RISK NO.	VULNERABILITY	TRIGGER	CONSEQUENCES
1.	TUPE transfers	Disagreement over status of TUPE	Legal challenge from workforce or Unions
2.	Timetable	Timetable slips such that procurement is delayed or disrupted	Commencement date of contract slips with financial consequences for the Council to appoint a temporary contractor
3.	ICT	Arrangements are not clear at tender stage	Challenges by motorists will be difficult to deal with. Complaints and service disruption will increase. Cash collection and income will be affected
4.	Action Plan is not monitored	Action Plan for the project is not monitored and progressed not managed	Delays in procurement and handover from NEPP which will result in financial consequences.
5.	Key procurement parameters not agreed in time	Procurement methodology (open or restricted procedure), tender evaluation criteria (price/quality split), length of contract term and inclusion of on street operations not agreed	Delays in procurement will result in a delay in handover from NEPP to the new provider.



RISK NO.	VULNERABILITY	TRIGGER	CONSEQUENCES
6.	If the private sector cannot offer a cost effective solution	The cost or quality of the solution offered by the successful bidder is more than NEPP.	Additional costs for the Council.
7.	Mobilisation team not affective	The winning tenderer has a weak implementation plan which could impact contract commencement	If mobilisation delayed and commencement date not achieved there are severe consequences.

Management plan for risks "in RED zone"

RISK NO.	VULNERABILITY	PROPOSED MANAGEMENT ACTION
1	TUPE transfers	Car Parking Manager to raise with RTAA and successful tenderers mobilisation manager. Update the Project Team on progress of TUPE matters